21st March 1921]

Allotments under Grant I in Bellary, etc., district boards.

446 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR : To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for Local Self-Government to state—

(a) the total allotments under Grant I in the district boards of Bellary, Anantapur, Cuddapah and Kurnool for the year 1920-21 ; and

(b) the amount spent in each district up to the end of January, or if possible, February 1921 on

(i) works, and

(ii) engineering establishment, including office and executive staff.

A.—(a) 'Grant I' is not a recognized head of account in a district board budget. If the member is referring to allotments for civil works, the figures are as follows :—

	RS.
Bellary	5,11,650
Anantapur	1,50,410
Cuddapah	2,34,030
Kurnool	3,32,210

(b) The Government have no information.

Inspectors of education of girls.

447 Q.—Sriman SASIBUSHANA RATH MAHASAYO : To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for Education—

(a) to lay on the table a list of inspecting officers, with their qualifications and salaries, who are appointed to look after the education of girls and women of this Presidency ; and

(b) to state whether there is a separate quarterly list of inspecting officers and teachers who are engaged in the education of girls.

A.—(a) The information required is placed on the table.*

(b) The answer is in the negative.

Oriya Police Inspectors.

448 Q.—Sriman SASIBUSHANA RATH MAHASAYO : To ask the Hon'ble the Home Member to state—

(a) whether all the Oriya Circle Inspectors of Police have now been serving outside the district of Ganjām ; and

(b) if the Government cannot always keep a sufficient number of Oriya Inspectors in the Ganjām district.

A.—(a) A few Oriya Inspectors have been posted outside the district in the interests of the public service. The rest are serving in the district.

(b) I the Honourable Member's suggestion is that the number of Oriya Inspectors now employed in Ganjām is not sufficient for the needs of police administration, the Government have no information which would support such a conclusion. The posting of Inspectors is made by the Inspector-General and the Government see no reason to interfere with his discretion.

Revenue collection from Ganjām, Vizagapatam, etc.

449 Q.—Sriman SASIBUSHANA RATH MAHASAYO : To ask the Hon'ble the Member for Revenue to state the total revenue under all heads realized from the

* Vide Appendix on page 847 infra.

districts of Ganjām, Vizagapatam, Gōdāvari, Kistna and Guntūr during the last three years.

A.—A statement will be prepared.

Pay and allowance of sub-assistant inspectors of schools.

450 *Q.*—Mr. S. Srinivasa Ayyangar : To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for Education—

(*a*) whether he has received memorials from sub-assistant inspectors of schools praying for a time-scale of pay of Rs. 150—15—300—25—500, with an efficiency bar at Rs. 400 and for a fixed travelling allowance of Rs. 50 instead of the present allowance of Rs. 25 ; and

(*b*) what action Government propose to take in the matter.

A.—(*a*) The answer is in the affirmative.

(*b*) The attention of the Member is drawn to pages 6 and 10 of G.O. No. 215, Financial, dated the 4th March 1921, which has been furnished to him.

Mr. R. Srinivasa Ayyangar :—" With reference to question 450, will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to furnish the other Honourable Members a copy of the Government Order ? "

The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur A. Subbarayulu Reddiyar :—" Yes, if they apply for it."

Restrictions regarding application for water.

451 *Q.*—Mr. A. Subbarayudu : To ask the Hon'ble the Member for Revenue whether in view of the fact that the Government have repeatedly exhorted people to increase the output of paddy, he will be pleased to state whether the Government would remove the restrictions regarding applications for water and imposing penal assessment.

A.—The answer is in the negative. The adoption of the Honourable Member's suggestion would operate to the detriment of the registered ayacut which has first claim to the supply of water and tend to restrict the outturn of paddy rather than increase it.

Accounts in vernacular of assessees.

452 *Q.*—Mr. O. Tanikachala Chettiyar : To ask the Hon'ble the Member for Finance whether in Bombay, Calcutta and Rangoon or in any of these cities there is any rule corresponding to rule 17 (*a*) purporting to be framed under section 43 of the Income-tax Act of 1918 requiring assessees who keep accounts in languages other than the vernaculars of those Presidencies or in the English language to produce under section 18 (2) of the Income-tax Act true translation of the accounts in English or in any of the vernaculars of the respective Presidencies.

A.—The Government have no information.